

## How Did We Develop the Scorecard?

An important conceptual challenge for this exercise was to develop a scoring rationale that is clear, logical, and fair. We are confident that you will agree that our system meets these criteria.

First, you need to go to the web site and open up the *Designation Continuum* Chart.

This is the chart that demonstrates the relationship between Canadian and International Safe Communities. This chart graphically illustrates this paradigm:

*The Attributes of Canadian Safe Communities are separated from the Indicators of International Safe Communities by time and are joined to them by criteria.*

Those eight common criteria form the foundation for the scoring system.

Our first step was to carefully define these criteria which are noted below

Then we took questions from *The National Report Card Survey* which would, we felt best “get at” each criterion. As many of the survey questions were supplemental or open ended, not all of them were appropriate for this exercise.

Once we had determined the questions appropriate to each criterion, we then had to decide which one best matched an Attribute and which matched an Indicator.

Once this was done, we had our scoring questions assigned.

We then made some scoring decisions. We decided that, for scoring, each Attribute was going to be worth five points for a total of twenty points in total. If, as in leadership, there is only one question, it is worth five points. In some cases there were two questions so each is worth 2.5 points. Those attributes with three questions were worth 1.66 etc.

### **How did we score the Leadership Attribute?**

As this Attribute has one question assigned to it, we decided to score it this way: The new Community Action Plan requires that five specified organizations be a part of every new *Safe Community Leadership Table* assembled in the country. We give one point for each of those specified members who are a part of your present leadership table.

The next pages provide the criteria definitions, the questions we used from the survey, and how we allocated them

**Safe Communities Canada Community Action Plan**  
**Criteria Definition:**

**C-1 Community Infrastructure**

This criterion refers to the composition of *The Safe Community Leadership Table*, the processes surrounding its creation, and its staying power. It also probes the evolution of *The Safe Community Leadership Table* into a cross-sectional, collaborative, and embedded leadership infrastructure in the community

*Attribute 1: Report Card Question: 2 (Municipal Government, Public Health/Health Services, Fire, Police, EMS only)*

*Indicator 1: Report Card Question: 5, 7, 37*

**C-2 Community Participation**

This criterion probes the breadth of community involvement in safety promotion and injury prevention initiatives. It focuses on community support of both *The Safe Community Leadership Table*, and its activities. This criterion also probes the community's participation in the activities of Safe Communities Canada and the international safe communities movement.

*Attribute 4: Report Card Question: 32,*

*Indicator 6: Report Card Question: 46, 49 (if yes)*

**C-3 Program Sustainability**

This criterion probes the degree to which a community has set up administrative and budgetary systems to ensure program viability and acceptance in the community.

*Attribute 3: Report Card Question: 25, 26, 27 (Administrative Staff indicating 20% or more) 29 (paid staff)*

*Indicator 2: Report Card Question: 6*

#### **C-4 Programme Range**

This criterion refers to the full range of injury reduction/safety promotion programmes offered by the community. This inventory will cross reference to Health Canada's list of the Social Determinants of Health, so the inventory will demonstrate that a community's safety programming offerings in the community meet a range of needs and populations. It also probes the community's ability to document the frequency and causes of its injuries.

*No Attribute Question*

*Indicator 2: Report Card Question: 17*

#### **C-5 Priority Populations**

This criterion probes efforts to direct resources and programmes to specific populations which have been identified in a systematic manner. As this systematic manner will take The Social Determinants of Health into consideration, the priority populations will reflect the diversity of safety challenges each community faces.

*Attribute 2: Report Card Question: 12 (if conducted within the last 5 years), 14 (if priorities match programs)*

*Indicator 3: Report Card Question: 16, 18*

*Indicator 4: Report Card Question: 13*

#### **C-6 Programme Evaluation**

This criterion measures the commitment of a community to undertake thoughtful and systematic reviews of its programming interventions to assess their effectiveness.

*No Attribute Question*

*Indicator 5: Report Card Question: 20, 21*

#### **C-7 Impact and effectiveness**

This criterion measures more than programmes. It refers to the role of *The Safe Community Leadership Table* in the community. Is it regarded as a leader in safety promotion in the community. Are there process in place to measure its effectiveness?

*Attribute 4: Report Card question 38*

*Indicator 5: Report Card Question: 11, 19*

### **C-8 Community Engagement**

This criterion refers to the breadth and depth of *The Safe Community Leadership Table's* reach into the general population of the community. The most effective measurement comes from counting how many people in the community actually participated in programmes and events championed by *The Safe Community Leadership Table* during a given period

*Attribute 4: Report Card Question: 45,  
No Indicator Question*

Attributes		Criteria		Indicators	
	Survey Questions		Survey Questions		Survey Questions
1. Leadership	2	1. Community Infrastructure	2, 5, 7, 37	1. Infrastructure	5, 7, 37
2. Priority Setting	12,14	2. Community Participation	32, 46, 49	2. Program Sustainability	6, 17
3. Sustainability	25, 26, 27, 29	3. Program Sustainability	6, 25, 26, 27, 29	3. Priority Populations	16, 18
4. Community Engagement	32, 38, 45	4. Programme Range	17	4. Data Programmes	13
		5. Priority Populations	12, 13, 14, 16, 18	5. Evaluation	11, 19, 20, 21
		6. Programme Evaluation	20, 21	6. Networking	46, 49
		7. Impact and Effectiveness	11, 19,38		
		8. Community Engagement	45		

**NCR Questions:**

**02. \*Which of the following has had representation at your Safe Community Leadership Table in the last year? (Check all that apply)**

Band Council

Municipal Government

Regional Government

Provincial Government

Public Health/Health Care

Fire

Police

Business

